

# rldesign.multd Multiple derivative compensators

Lec. rldesign.PD shows how to design a derivative compensator such that the compensated root locus of a control system can be made to include some test point  $\psi \in \mathbb{C}$  where the designer would like a closed-loop pole (typically to satisfy transient response requirements). This derivative compensator has the form

$$C_D = K(s - z_c), \tag{1}$$

for gain  $K \in \mathbb{R}$  and zero  $z_c \in \mathbb{R}$ . The crux of the design procedure is to compute via the root locus phase criterion<sup>11</sup> the *required* compensator phase contribution:

$$\theta_c = \pi - \angle GH(\psi) \tag{2}$$

for open-loop transfer function  $GH(s)$ . A trigonometric analysis shows that, for  $\theta_c \in [-\pi, \pi]$ , the compensator zero must be

$$z_c = \text{Re}(\psi) - \text{Im}(\psi) / \tan \theta_c. \tag{3}$$

The obvious limitation here is that if the required compensation  $\theta_c$  is beyond  $\pm\pi$ , the derivative compensator of Eq. 1 cannot contribute sufficient phase. The strategy we adopt here is to augment the derivative compensator to include as many (equal) zeros as we need:

$$C_m = K(s - z_m)^m, \tag{4}$$

where  $z_m$  is a zero of multiplicity  $m$ . We call this a **multiple derivative compensator** or *m-derivative compensator*.

How do we select the compensator zero  $z_m$  and multiplicity  $m$  for a given  $\theta_c$ ? First, we determine  $m$  by determining how many  $\pi$  (or  $-\pi$ ) contributions are required:<sup>12,13</sup>

11. The phase criterion was defined in Lec. rlocus.def, Eq. 6.

## multiple derivative compensator

---

**Algorithm multd.1** the multiple derivative compensator algorithm.

---

```

function d_comp_m( $\psi$ , GH( $s$ ))
     $\theta_c \leftarrow \pi - \angle GH(\psi)$        $\triangleright$  required phase comp
     $m \leftarrow \text{ceiling}(\theta_c/\pi)$      $\triangleright$  zeros needed
     $\theta_m \leftarrow \theta_c/m$            $\triangleright$  divide contributions
     $z_m \leftarrow \text{Re}(\psi) - \text{Im}(\psi) / \tan \theta_m$        $\triangleright$  trig
     $C'_m \leftarrow (s - z_m)^m$        $\triangleright$  comp sans gain
     $K_m \leftarrow |C'_m(\psi)GH(\psi)|^{-1}$      $\triangleright$  angle criterion
     $C_m \leftarrow K_m C'_m$            $\triangleright$  comp with gain
    return  $C_m$ 
end function
    
```

---

12. The function  $\lceil \cdot \rceil$  is called the ceiling function and rounds up to the nearest integer.

13. Note that if  $\theta_c \in [-\pi, \pi]$ , the multiplicity  $m = 1$  and the compensator is a regular derivative compensator.

$$m = \left\lceil \frac{|\theta_c|}{\pi} \right\rceil. \tag{5}$$

With this, we can divide-up the the required phase contribution  $\theta_c$  among the  $m$  zeros:

$$\theta_m = \theta_c/m. \tag{6}$$

By construction,  $\theta_m \in [-\pi, \pi]$ , so the compensator zeros should be located at

$$z_m = \text{Re}(\psi) - \text{Im}(\psi)/\tan \theta_m. \tag{7}$$

This is summarized in [Algorithm multd.1](#).

### Causality

A complication can arise when derivative compensation yields a closed-loop transfer function with more zeros than poles—a type of system called **non-causal** (non-non-causal systems are called **causal**). Non-causal systems are those that depend on *future* states, something classically<sup>14</sup> impossible to instantiate in real-time, and therefore a controller that creates such a control system is of no practical use.<sup>15</sup> Adding multiple zeros to a controller can easily yield such undesirable systems.

To mitigate this, we can include  $\iota$  pure integrators  $1/s$  into the compensator. They will obviously affect the root locus, so their effects must be taken into account during the zero compensator calculations. This is done by treating the open-loop transfer function as if it already had the compensator integrators  $1/s^\iota$ .

[Algorithm multd.2](#) summarizes this approach.

**non-causal**  
**causal**

14. It gets complicated when considering relativity and quantum mechanics, which we do not, here.

15. Non-causal system models are useful for digital signal post-processing, but these are always *a posteriori*—i.e. “future” time is known because it is in the analytic past. Controllers do not have this luxury.

---

#### Algorithm multd.2 the multiple derivative compensator algorithm with $\iota$ integrators.

---

```

function d_comp_m( $\psi$ , GH( $s$ ),  $\iota$ )
     $\theta_c \leftarrow \pi - \angle \text{GH}(\psi)/s^\iota$   $\triangleright$  required phase comp
     $m \leftarrow \text{ceiling}(\theta_c/\pi)$   $\triangleright$  zeros needed
     $\theta_m \leftarrow \theta_c/m$   $\triangleright$  divide contributions
     $z_m \leftarrow \text{Re}(\psi) - \text{Im}(\psi)/\tan \theta_m$   $\triangleright$  trig
     $C'_m \leftarrow (s - z_m)^m/s^\iota$   $\triangleright$  comp sans gain
     $K_m \leftarrow |C'_m(\psi)\text{GH}(\psi)|^{-1}$   $\triangleright$  angle criterion
     $C_m \leftarrow K_m C'_m$   $\triangleright$  comp with gain
    return  $C_m$ 
end function
    
```

---